# Deliverability review - S-09 and final question dispositions

Document: aos-deliverability-review-s09-and-final-disposition-2026-10-29 · Source revision or record: S-09 and final dispositions, 29 October 2026

AOS Specifications v1.0 · Edition dated 3 November 2026

Original architecture and specifications: Mark Sweeny, Governance Intelligence. © 2026 Mark Sweeny.

AOS-authored material is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0).
https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Preserve third-party credits. Referenced external works remain subject to their own terms.

Canonical collection: https://www.governanceintelligence.co.uk/aos

Publication copy with editorial notices, public references and disclosure edits where applicable.

29 October 2026 · Decision authority: Mark Sweeny. Recorded by Codex.

## S-09 disposition

Mark agreed to close question 9 without architectural amendment. The review did not demonstrate a contradiction between AOS-12 REQ-020 (prohibited individual emotion/health/private-conduct inference from the specified signals) and REQ-029 (permitted minimal-evidence workforce safety referral through an empowered human route, without diagnosis or invented emergency powers). A reported illness or workplace hazard can be referred without generating a prohibited personal inference.

Operational task/ownership records do not automatically become permissible evidence for personnel surveillance. Existing purpose, authority and data-use rules apply; notice alone supplies no processing permission. AOS-12 REQ-026 and its surrounding purpose/sensitive/cross-domain controls retain their meanings. No new inference exception, surveillance permission, workflow requirement or committee power is introduced. Any specific residual ambiguity should be addressed as a targeted explanatory/editorial correction, with its actual evidence identified; no such ambiguity is silently assumed resolved by this disposition.

## Final disposition of the nine questions

**Table row 1**
Question: S-01 Derived information restrictions
Disposition: Approved direction and amended proposed requirements/planned cases; actual profile and evidence gates retained
Controlled record: DEC-099 (aos-dec-099-derived-information-restrictions-2026-10-29.md)

**Table row 2**
Question: S-02 Minimum control-metadata access
Disposition: Approved direction; independent advance permission/verification remains to be instantiated and demonstrated
Controlled record: DEC-100 (aos-dec-100-control-metadata-access-2026-10-29.md)

**Table row 3**
Question: S-03 Human capacity and meaningful review
Disposition: Approved operational-reliance condition; real capacity and competent assessment remain required
Controlled record: DEC-101 (aos-dec-101-human-capacity-and-meaningful-review-2026-10-29.md)

**Table row 4**
Question: S-04 Classification/configuration adequacy
Disposition: Approved direction; actual organisational values, assessment and material-change reassessment remain required
Controlled record: DEC-102 (aos-dec-102-classification-and-configuration-adequacy-2026-10-29.md)

**Table row 5**
Question: S-05 Decision validity, evidence, minutes and execution
Disposition: Approved revised direction after legal-source review; DEC-087 partly superseded, actual legal-form/instrument/evidence policy remains required
Controlled record: DEC-103 (aos-dec-103-decision-validity-evidence-and-minutes-2026-10-29.md)

**Table row 6**
Question: S-06 Protected reporting and implicated recipients
Disposition: Approved direction; actual class profiles, powers/permissions, independent routes and evidence remain required
Controlled record: DEC-104 (aos-dec-104-protected-reporting-and-implicated-recipients-2026-10-29.md)

**Table row 7**
Question: S-07 Technical-control scope, limits and observed evidence
Disposition: Approved assurance direction; implementation/prototype and operational reliance remain separate authorised gates
Controlled record: DEC-105 (aos-dec-105-technical-control-limits-and-evidence-2026-10-29.md)

**Table row 8**
Question: S-08 GI evaluation methods
Disposition: Rejected as an architectural amendment; procedural options within the AI Governance and Ethics Committee's existing oversight remit
Controlled record: S-08 disposition (aos-deliverability-review-s08-disposition-2026-10-29.md)

**Table row 9**
Question: S-09 Workforce inference/referral and cross-domain reuse
Disposition: Closed without architectural amendment; no contradiction demonstrated, existing controls retained
Controlled record: This record

## Scope of completion

This closes disposition of these nine review questions, not the complete implementation, publication or assurance programme. No AOS case has been executed through this exercise; proposed detailed wording, actual organisation profiles, endpoint/schema compatibility, qualified independent assessment and applicable G3/G4/operational approvals remain separate. The documentary corrections D-01-09 and expanded README adoption remain separately recorded. The original assessment/review is retained; subsequent decisions determine the live status.

No new DEC number, requirement, test, committee power, permission or technical baseline version is introduced by closing S-09. All source specification versions and catalogue counts remain as recorded after DEC-105.

## Provenance

Mark's "agreed" in this chat approves the stated S-09 disposition. Source status records and the local review carry the completion annotation. Before/after status-file copies and saved-content hashes are retained in the local AOS/deliverability-review-2026-10-29/disposition-S09 folder. This is a disposition record, not independent technical or legal assurance.