AOS-21 - Corpus, organisation knowledge and precedent

Document: aos-21-corpus-organisation-knowledge-and-precedent · Source revision or record: v0.7

AOS Specifications v1.0 · Edition dated 3 November 2026

Original architecture and specifications: Mark Sweeny, Governance Intelligence. © 2026 Mark Sweeny.

AOS-authored material is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0).
https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Preserve third-party credits. Referenced external works remain subject to their own terms.

Canonical collection: https://www.governanceintelligence.co.uk/aos

Publication copy with editorial notices, public references and disclosure edits where applicable.

DEC-103 adopted - 29 October 2026: Current revision 0.7. Decision validity/effective time, attributable evidence/authentication, minutes approval and permission to execute are separate. DEC-087 is partly superseded; decision/amendment and legal-source record (../Supporting-Specifications/aos-dec-103-decision-validity-evidence-and-minutes-2026-10-29.md). No universal later-minutes or second decision-record approval gate. Exact instruments/evidence policy, KX-06 v0.3 compatibility and ordinary separate review/readiness gates remain required; cases unexecuted. Earlier contrary wording is historical only where explicitly identified.

Editorial edition - 29 October 2026: Mark authorised documentary corrections D-01-09. This edition reconciles navigation, count/revision pointers, conformance links and historical scope labels; existing technical meanings, requirement/IF/decision identities and proposed/planned status are retained. The internal version below identifies the retained functional baseline; this dated editorial edition identifies the corrected document. See the current document inventory (../Supporting-Specifications/aos-current-document-inventory-2026-10-29.md) and correction record (../Supporting-Specifications/aos-documentary-corrections-2026-10-29.md). Earlier dated adoption baselines are historical snapshots; exact logical family/view revisions are in Exchange Register §4.

AOS-25 oversight counterpart alignment - 7 October 2026: AOS-25 v0.1 now supplies the drafted oversight/drift/learning and GI research-portfolio counterpart. Reference-only revision; all existing requirement/case/contract rows preserved. AOS-00/Map v0.31, DEC-001-091; AOS-25 v0.1; AOS-20 v0.4/AOS-21 v0.5/AOS-22 v0.3/AOS-23 v0.4/AOS-24 v0.2/AOS-30 v0.4 (counterpart-reference alignment only); AOS-01-05 v0.8, AOS-14 v0.4; Shared v0.14/Core v0.6/Exchange Register v0.13; source matrix v0.14; template v0.4; Control Coordination v0.2/Protected Reporting and Recovery v0.1. No build/activation/readiness approval. Next: author GI-set consistency/disposition review across AOS-20-25 and AOS-30, then AOS-40 acceptance scenarios under item 8; independent project review remains deferred. Earlier dated consultation/adoption entries remain history.

AOS-24 presentation counterpart alignment - 7 October 2026: AOS-24 v0.1 now supplies the drafted faithful-rendering/explanation counterpart. Reference-only revision; requirement, case and contract rows unchanged. AOS-00/Map v0.30, DEC-001-091; AOS-24 v0.1; AOS-21 v0.4/AOS-22 v0.2/AOS-23 v0.3 (reference alignment only); AOS-01-05 v0.8, AOS-14 v0.4, AOS-20 v0.3, AOS-30 v0.3; Shared v0.13/Core v0.6/Exchange Register v0.12; source matrix v0.13; template v0.4; Control Coordination v0.2/Protected Reporting and Recovery v0.1. No build/activation/readiness approval. Earlier dated consultation/adoption entries remain history.

AOS-22 synthesis counterpart alignment - 7 October 2026: AOS-22 v0.1 now supplies the drafted synthesis/interpretation/options counterpart. This revision changes pending-drafting/current-reference statements only; all requirement, case and contract meanings preserved. AOS-00/Map v0.29, DEC-001-091; AOS-22 v0.1; AOS-21 v0.3/AOS-23 v0.2 (counterpart/reference alignment only); AOS-01-05 v0.8, AOS-14 v0.4, AOS-20 v0.3, AOS-30 v0.3; Shared v0.12/Core v0.6/Exchange Register v0.11; source matrix v0.12; template v0.4; Control Coordination v0.2/Protected Reporting and Recovery v0.1. No build/activation/readiness approval.

AOS-23 functional counterpart alignment - 7 October 2026: AOS-23 v0.1 is now drafted and adopts the existing corpus/profile/criteria/evidence/correction views. AOS-21 v0.2 updates pending-drafting references only; all requirements, cases and shared semantics preserved. AOS-00/Map v0.28, DEC-001-091; AOS-23 v0.1; AOS-21 v0.2 (functional endpoint/reference alignment only); AOS-01-05 v0.8, AOS-14 v0.4, AOS-20 v0.3, AOS-30 v0.3; Shared v0.11/Core v0.6/Exchange Register v0.10; source matrix v0.11; template v0.4; Control Coordination v0.2/Protected Reporting and Recovery v0.1. No build/activation/readiness approval.

Table row 1
Document control: Component / version / date
Value: AOS-21 / 0.7 / 29 October 2026

Table row 2
Document control: Status
Value: Functional specification review draft; requirement wording proposed

Table row 3
Document control: Architecture baseline
Value: AOS-00/Map v0.32, DEC-001-091; prior consultation/allocation retained as history

Table row 4
Document control: Governing sources
Value: Foundational Principles v4; AOS Reasoning Principles v6; Governance Intelligence Tests; preserved controlled source manifest

Table row 5
Document control: Dependency manifest
Value: AOS-01-05 v0.8; AOS-14 v0.4; AOS-20 v0.3; AOS-30 v0.3; Shared v0.9/Core v0.6/Exchange Register v0.8; Control Coordination v0.2; Protected Reporting and Recovery v0.1; common research supporting view RSVIEW-0.1 and KX-06 v0.3. Shared v0.10/Register v0.9 adoption addenda retain these unchanged definitions; source matrix v0.10 records allocation

Table row 6
Document control: Quality / template
Value: AOS-QS v0.1; component template v0.4

Table row 7
Document control: Project owner / custodian
Value: Mark Sweeny

Table row 8
Document control: Operational owner
Value: Accountable GI owner and human Governance Knowledge Steward; actual appointments and delegated powers remain organisation dependencies

Table row 9
Document control: Author / reviewer
Value: Codex at Mark's request; author checks only; Mark review pending; independent project reviewer unassigned/deferred

Table row 10
Document control: Approval / release
Value: DEC-090/091 approved as directions; no whole-document approval or build/activation/readiness determination

Table row 11
Document control: Change history
Value: 0.6 - GI-CR-01-04 author consistency correction/closure under Mark’s instruction; existing identifiers and proposed/planned status preserved. 0.5 - AOS-25 counterpart/reference alignment only; no requirement/case/contract change. 0.4 - AOS-24 counterpart/reference alignment only; no requirement/case/contract change. 0.3 - AOS-22 counterpart/reference alignment only; no

requirement/case/contract change. 0.2 updates AOS-23 counterpart and current allocation references only; no REQ/VER/CON meaning change. 0.1 initial functional draft incorporating the GIL comparison v0.2, approved group scope and common external-research rule. New supporting adoption addenda reference existing definitions without changing their meanings.

1. Purpose and scope

AOS-21 makes the organisation's knowledge and governing sources identifiable, current for a declared purpose and traceable to the people entitled to maintain or adopt them. Without it, GI can treat an old policy, an unverified factual claim or its own interpretation as current authority. The intended outcome is that a permitted user or component can reconstruct which entity, source, revision, approval, applicability and limitations support a proposition-and see what remains unknown or disputed.

Scope includes organisation and subsidiary profiles; external, operational and constitutional corpus; governance artefact catalogue, relationships and analytical criteria; source admission and currency; human stewardship; approved interpretation/precedent custody; and corpus-related challenge, correction and research. Organisation knowledge means sourced context, not a model's private memory. Corpus admission means permission to include a source for specified uses, not adoption of its propositions as organisational rules.

AOS-02 owns canonical shared structures and custody, AOS-01 governing powers/change, AOS-03 permitted data use, AOS-04 agent behaviour and AOS-05 enforcement/recovery. AOS-14 governs external retrieval. AOS-22 interprets and synthesises; AOS-23 assesses artefacts and permitted corroboration; AOS-24 presents; AOS-25 oversees drift and independent review; AOS-30 supports empowered human decisions. AOS-21 does not create moral authority, assess the whole institution's effectiveness, certify legal compliance, observe protected boardroom deliberation or replace a document portal.

Stakeholders include boards of relevant entities, committees, stewards, executives, residents, staff, source owners, reviewers, operators and suppliers. Their legitimate interests, acting capacities and access differ. A group relationship does not transfer governing powers, data-use permissions or approval between entities.

2. Authority and sources

FP v4/RP v6 govern. Approved decisions constrain proposed wording. The working paper and GIL supply reconciled design material, not additional powers. Source locators below apply throughout the requirement register; each requirement is an explicit functional derivation unless labelled as direct adoption of a decision.

Table row 1
Key: FP
Exact source / locator and use: Foundational_Principles_v4.docx, numbered principles 1-10; applicability in §8

Table row 2
Key: RP1
Exact source / locator and use: RP v6, Architecture and Completeness: “Every governance process must close its feedback loop”; “Every human accountability layer must be explicitly named”

Table row 3
Key: RP2
Exact source / locator and use: RP v6, Mode 1/Mode 2 Boundary: permanently human judgement, governance instruments and protected deliberation

Table row 4
Key: RP3
Exact source / locator and use: RP v6, 1+5 Architecture: role clarity and cross-intelligence handoff

Table row 5

Key: RP4
Exact source / locator and use: RP v6, Options and Decisions: actual organisational state and structured consequential decision feedback

Table row 6
Key: RP5/7
Exact source / locator and use: RP v6, Blind Spots and Honesty / Radical Transparency: named limits, reconstructable behaviour and visible uncertainty

Table row 7
Key: RP6
Exact source / locator and use: RP v6, Ethics and Telos: precedent drift paragraph beginning “Where the organisation's purpose is interpreted”; independent founding-text reconciliation; no-exit and developer framework distinction

Table row 8
Key: RP8/9
Exact source / locator and use: RP v6, Agentic Delegation / Data and Sensing Boundaries: delegated powers, protected escalation and declared purpose

Table row 9
Key: WP
Exact source / locator and use: Governance Intelligence: Internal Architecture - Working Paper, “Corpus”, “How the corpus holds meaning”, “Governance of drift” and “Validation regime”; its RP v5 and automatic-confirmation assumptions reconciled through DEC-080/081

Table row 10
Key: GIL
Exact source / locator and use: GIL v2 §§2.1-2.2 organisation/knowledge base, §2.3 blind spots, §3 currency monitoring, §4 document lifecycle, §6.1/6.5 calibration/amendment and §9 subsidiary scope

Table row 11
Key: CMP
Exact source / locator and use: AOS-GIL-v2-Comparison-and-Reconciliation-v0.1.md, content v0.2, GIL-C01-38 and approved Q01/Q02; individual allocation in §19

Table row 12
Key: D
Exact source / locator and use: AOS-00 v0.26 decision register: DEC-005/008/010-012/018/024-025/027/045-048/062-078/079-091, as cited by individual requirements

Table row 13
Key: GI
Exact source / locator and use: AOS-20 v0.3 §§3/4/5/6/7/8/10/15: corpus stewardship, precedence approval, independent review and common research

Table row 14
Key: H
Exact source / locator and use: AOS-30 v0.3 §§5/6/7/10: exact decision approval, correction, duties and human-provided context

Table row 15
Key: E / A / U / X / K
Exact source / locator and use: Core v0.6 REC-E/Q, REC-A, REC-D, REC-X; Shared v0.9 §4; Exchange Register v0.8 KX definitions; coordination and reporting/recovery annexes

Table row 16
Key: R
Exact source / locator and use: AOS-04 v0.8 §§3.1/5.1/6.6/10.8 CON-009; AOS-02 v0.8 §6.6 CON-007; AOS-01 v0.8 §§6.6/10.8; DEC-086/template v0.4 §4.4

The source manifest is preserved, not refreshed by this draft. Current legal/standards applicability requires admitted primary evidence and empowered review. No new ISO clause claim or legal verification is made here. The WP's suggestion that Boundary owns corpus updating is reconciled: AOS-21 owns relevance and admission; Boundary owns permitted external access and verification. Its suggested conversation-to-precedent shortcut is replaced by DEC-081 explicit empowered approval.

3. Responsibilities

Table row 1
Responsibility: Organisation profiles
AOS-21 / human responsibility: Steward maintains exact sourced entity-specific context and coverage
Boundary: 02 mapping/custody; 01 authority; no presumed parent powers over subsidiary

Table row 2
Responsibility: Corpus admission
AOS-21 / human responsibility: Steward applies delegated classification, source and permitted-use policy; contested meaning referred
Boundary: Admission is separate from truth, adoption, activation and disclosure

Table row 3
Responsibility: Catalogue / criteria
AOS-21 / human responsibility: Preserve source-backed artefact types, relationships, questions, applicability and revisions
Boundary: 23 applies assessment; criterion is not a constitutional instrument

Table row 4
Responsibility: Founding/operational corpus
AOS-21 / human responsibility: Capture exact approved texts, powers, effective scope and history
Boundary: 01/empowered humans approve changes; board retains telos amendment

Table row 5
Responsibility: Precedent
AOS-21 / human responsibility: Store separately approved interpretation with rationale, context and limits; preserve correction/withdrawal
Boundary: 22 proposes; 30 records human approval; 25 owns drift-review coordination

Table row 6
Responsibility: Currency / change
AOS-21 / human responsibility: Identify affected knowledge, consumers and owned reassessment; preserve actual applied state
Boundary: 02 common propagation; consumers own changed analysis/action/account review

Table row 7
Responsibility: External research
AOS-21 / human responsibility: Component owner owns corpus-related questions and implications; steward reviews source/curation findings
Boundary: Common agent/control infrastructure may be shared; 14 retrieval; 01 change

Actual delegated source admission, materiality/classification rules, accountable owners, cover and review deadlines must be organisationally appointed. No job title, technical administrator permission or AI confidence creates those powers.

4. Capabilities and functions

4.1 Capabilities

Table row 1
ID: AOS-21-CAP-001
Outcome: Maintain sourced organisation and scoped subsidiary knowledge

Table row 2
ID: AOS-21-CAP-002
Outcome: Supply classified corpus and artefact knowledge with declared applicability

Table row 3
ID: AOS-21-CAP-003
Outcome: Preserve empowered approval and contestable precedent integrity

Table row 4
ID: AOS-21-CAP-004
Outcome: Handle currency, dependency changes and coverage limits

Table row 5
ID: AOS-21-CAP-005
Outcome: Supply permitted, version-bound evidence to GI and human review

Table row 6
ID: AOS-21-CAP-006
Outcome: Research external developments affecting AOS-21 and propose governed adaptation

4.2 Functions

Table row 1
ID: AOS-21-FUN-001
Trigger → input → behaviour → output; control and failure: Profile request/change → permitted source revisions → reconcile scoped assertions and human confirmation → versioned profile; uncertain entity matches or facts remain unresolved

Table row 2
ID: AOS-21-FUN-002
Trigger → input → behaviour → output; control and failure: New/changed source → provenance, status, use permission and classification → delegated admission review → admitted/restricted/rejected source; unresolved authority referred

Table row 3
ID: AOS-21-FUN-003
Trigger → input → behaviour → output; control and failure: Catalogue/criteria proposal → source and entity applicability → preserve distinct semantic role and approval → versioned artefact knowledge; absent seed content becomes coverage gap

Table row 4
ID: AOS-21-FUN-004
Trigger → input → behaviour → output; control and failure: Human decision/interpretation → exact approved content and powers → validate faithful capture and explicit precedent designation → scoped precedent or pending/rejected candidate; confirmation alone cannot promote

Table row 5
ID: AOS-21-FUN-005
Trigger → input → behaviour → output; control and failure: Currency trigger/correction → old/new revisions and dependencies → identify affected use and notify owners → owned reassessment/restriction; failed delivery remains outstanding

Table row 6
ID: AOS-21-FUN-006
Trigger → input → behaviour → output; control and failure: GI/human request → purpose, entity, time and permissions → supply applicable

evidence bundle → versioned projection and limitations; missing mandatory evidence prevents asserted suitability

Table row 7
ID: AOS-21-FUN-007
Trigger → input → behaviour → output; control and failure: Annual/material drift review → permitted founding text and precedent history → supply independent preparation evidence and receive empowered disposition → reaffirm/prune/referral records; independence is not supplied by a second model alone

Table row 8
ID: AOS-21-FUN-008
Trigger → input → behaviour → output; control and failure: Approved research trigger → external evidence via 14 → specialist relevance/impact analysis → common finding and tracked human disposition; no autonomous amendment

4.3 Proposed requirements

Each row is a component requirement unless category begins I (interface). Categories G governance, D data, Q quality/uncertainty, S security/use, R research, O operation. Owner: accountable AOS-21/GI owner, with delegated human Steward responsible for curation; approver: Mark for project wording, applicable organisational authority for operating scope. All rows are proposed for the v0.1 functional scope, originating 7 October. Dependencies: cited source/decision, §6 contract for the function, and owned profile/assurance gaps in §17. Rationale is stated individually. Planned acceptance links are to §15; no cases executed. These common metadata apply to every row, avoiding repetition without omitting the QS-08 record attributes.

Table row 1
ID: AOS-21-REQ-001
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall bind each organisation-profile assertion to its exact entity and source revision.
Category / function: D; FUN-001
Exact source / derivation: DEC-090; GIL §2.1; E/KX-09
Rationale: Prevents unsourced or entity-ambiguous context.
Planned VER: 001

Table row 2
ID: AOS-21-REQ-002
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall maintain relevant subsidiaries as distinct scoped profiles linked to the parent within the approved organisational remit.
Category / function: G; FUN-001
Exact source / derivation: DEC-090; CMP Q01/GIL-C38
Rationale: Preserves entity-specific governance rather than implicit parent-only coverage.
Planned VER: 002

Table row 3
ID: AOS-21-REQ-003
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall retain source and fact-assurance references separately for each profile assertion.
Category / function: D; FUN-001
Exact source / derivation: DEC-047/062; E/REC-Q; GIL-C12
Rationale: Origin does not prove factual assurance.
Planned VER: 001

Table row 4
ID: AOS-21-REQ-004
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall preserve unknown, unverified, disputed, restricted and refuted profile states under the common value/assurance definitions.
Category / function: Q; FUN-001
Exact source / derivation: DEC-063; E; GIL-C13
Rationale: Avoids false defaults and invented certainty.
Planned VER: 003

Table row 5
ID: AOS-21-REQ-005
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall withhold an entity or assertion reconciliation lacking the confirmation required by its approved mapping/curation policy.
Category / function: G; FUN-001
Exact source / derivation: DEC-045/090; K/KX-09; GI §3
Rationale: Prevents destructive or unempowered merging.
Planned VER: 002/003

Table row 6
ID: AOS-21-REQ-006
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall identify the applicable external, operational and constitutional corpus tier for admitted governing material.
Category / function: D; FUN-002
Exact source / derivation: GI REQ-009; WP Corpus; DEC-079/080
Rationale: Exposes different source roles and change powers.
Planned VER: 004

Table row 7
ID: AOS-21-REQ-007
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall retain corpus admission as a distinct state from organisational adoption of governing meaning.
Category / function: G; FUN-002
Exact source / derivation: DEC-080; WP Corpus; GIL-C15
Rationale: Storage cannot enact a norm.
Planned VER: 004

Table row 8
ID: AOS-21-REQ-008
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall refer disputed authority or proposed new governing meaning to the empowered human decision-maker.
Category / function: G; FUN-002
Exact source / derivation: DEC-080/081; GI REQ-008; RP2
Rationale: Curation cannot resolve constitutional judgement by default.
Planned VER: 005

Table row 9
ID: AOS-21-REQ-009
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall retain material source disagreements in the supplied corpus view until an empowered disposition resolves the affected use.
Category / function: Q; FUN-002
Exact source / derivation: RP5/7; GI §6; E/REC-Q
Rationale: Avoids a convenient source selection concealing conflict.
Planned VER: 005

Table row 10
ID: AOS-21-REQ-010
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall preserve each admitted source's provenance and publication, access and effective-date status, with explicit unknown reasons.
Category / function: D; FUN-002
Exact source / derivation: GIL §§2.2/3; E/KX-01; R common views
Rationale: Distinguishes source chronology from current applicability.
Planned VER: 006

Table row 11
ID: AOS-21-REQ-011
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall bind source applicability to declared jurisdiction, entity, purpose and relevant scope.
Category / function: D; FUN-002

Exact source / derivation: DEC-090; GIL-C14; U/KX-03
Rationale: Prevents cross-jurisdiction or cross-entity assumptions.
Planned VER: 006

Table row 12
ID: AOS-21-REQ-012
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall identify artefact-type, criteria, question and relationship entries by their exact sourced revisions.
Category / function: D; FUN-003
Exact source / derivation: GIL §2.2; GIL-C04/14; E
Rationale: Makes analytical knowledge traceable and extensible.
Planned VER: 007

Table row 13
ID: AOS-21-REQ-013
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall distinguish analytical assessment criteria from organisational constitutional powers in catalogue views.
Category / function: G; FUN-003
Exact source / derivation: Map AOS-21 allocation; GIL-C14; DEC-005
Rationale: Avoids treating a quality criterion as authority.
Planned VER: 004/007

Table row 14
ID: AOS-21-REQ-014
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall record unavailable catalogue or seed content as an explicit unassessed coverage limitation.
Category / function: Q; FUN-003
Exact source / derivation: GIL §§2.3/9; GIL-C04/19; RP5
Rationale: An empty library is not evidence that no obligation exists.
Planned VER: 007/011

Table row 15
ID: AOS-21-REQ-015
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall admit or maintain corpus material only within the human Steward's evidenced delegated curation powers.
Category / function: G; FUN-002/003
Exact source / derivation: DEC-080; GI REQ-006; A/REC-A
Rationale: Access privileges do not confer meaning-adoption powers.
Planned VER: 012

Table row 16
ID: AOS-21-REQ-016
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall bind each admission/maintenance disposition to its exact content revision and accountable actor.
Category / function: D; FUN-002/003
Exact source / derivation: RP7; DEC-080; E/A
Rationale: Makes faithful maintenance distinguishable from silent alteration.
Planned VER: 012

Table row 17
ID: AOS-21-REQ-017
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall obtain the independently valid data-use permission required for each processing or disclosure purpose.
Category / function: S; FUN-002/006
Exact source / derivation: U/REC-D; DEC-049-053; RP9
Rationale: Admission/public accessibility cannot waive use controls.
Planned VER: 023

Table row 18
ID: AOS-21-REQ-018
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall withhold a dependent operation when its required authority or use determination is missing, invalid or

revoked.
Category / function: G; All
Exact source / derivation: A/U/X; coordination CHECK; DEC-064/067
Rationale: Prevents favourable reliance on missing controls.
Planned VER: 023

Table row 19
ID: AOS-21-REQ-019
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall capture the exact attributed decision record, evidence/authentication standing, decision-maker capacity, entity and effective scope under the organisation's applicable decision and evidence arrangements.
Category / function: D; FUN-004
Exact source / derivation: H §§5/6/10; K/KX-06 v0.3; DEC-103/090
Rationale: Ensures that decision custody preserves actual approval.
Planned VER: 013/014

Table row 20
ID: AOS-21-REQ-020
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall require explicit empowered approval of exact meaning, rationale, context and limitations before designating an interpretation as approved precedent.
Category / function: G; FUN-004
Exact source / derivation: DEC-081; GI REQ-010; WP meaning
Rationale: Prevents automatic accumulation of normative case law.
Planned VER: 015

Table row 21
ID: AOS-21-REQ-021
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall retain ordinary conversational confirmation separately from approved precedent.
Category / function: G; FUN-004
Exact source / derivation: DEC-081; GI REQ-011; GIL-C27
Rationale: A conversational yes cannot adopt a ruling.
Planned VER: 015

Table row 22
ID: AOS-21-REQ-022
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall preserve minute-taker drafting, Company Secretary stewardship, decision validity/evidence, record authentication and minutes approval as distinct roles/events/statuses under DEC-103.
Category / function: G; FUN-004
Exact source / derivation: DEC-103; H §10.3; K/KX-06 v0.3
Rationale: Avoids promotion of a draft through the wrong role.
Planned VER: 013

Table row 23
ID: AOS-21-REQ-023
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall withhold affected authoritative reliance when attributable evidence is insufficient or disputed under the applicable evidence policy, without universally requiring later minutes approval or treating a Chair signature or extract alone as conclusive decision authority.
Category / function: G; FUN-004
Exact source / derivation: DEC-103; H §6.2; GI §6.5
Rationale: An extract/signature must be assessed for provenance and actual validity; no conclusive or automatic authority.
Planned VER: 014

Table row 24
ID: AOS-21-REQ-024
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall preserve the applicable empowered approval route for non-board decisions and precedent dispositions.
Category / function: G; FUN-004/007
Exact source / derivation: DEC-081/087; A/KX-06; H §7
Rationale: Avoids treating all bodies as the board or a single administrator.

Planned VER: 016

Table row 25
ID: AOS-21-REQ-025
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall supply permitted founding-text and precedent evidence for independent human reconciliation at least annually and on material drift triggers. Support preparation of the human and GI accounts without reference to each other before comparison, retaining their permitted original accounts and later responses.
Category / function: G; FUN-007
Exact source / derivation: DEC-010/011/085; RP6 precedent paragraph; GI REQ-034
Rationale: Accumulated interpretation requires an independent founding-text check.
Planned VER: 017

Table row 26
ID: AOS-21-REQ-026
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall expose source/profile currency as a purpose-specific status under the approved currentness policy.
Category / function: Q; FUN-005
Exact source / derivation: GIL §§3/6.1; GIL-C10/27; RP5
Rationale: Annual publication or successful retrieval alone does not establish currency.
Planned VER: 008

Table row 27
ID: AOS-21-REQ-027
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall identify materially dependent consumers and use scopes when a corpus/profile/precedent revision changes.
Category / function: I-D; FUN-005
Exact source / derivation: K/KX-13; coordination §5; DEC-068/069
Rationale: Finds where new evidence affects reliance.
Planned VER: 008/018

Table row 28
ID: AOS-21-REQ-028
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall issue governed change notifications to materially affected owners, including AOS-30 when preparation, account or outcome review is affected.
Category / function: I-O; FUN-005
Exact source / derivation: K/KX-13/21; GI §6.5; H §10
Rationale: Avoids leaving a human workflow reliant on corrected evidence.
Planned VER: 018

Table row 29
ID: AOS-21-REQ-029
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall retain required notification, responsibility-acceptance and reassessment duties until each purpose-specific completion condition is evidenced.
Category / function: O; FUN-005
Exact source / derivation: DEC-066/071/075; K/KX-21/26
Rationale: Delivery is not accepted ownership or resolution.
Planned VER: 019

Table row 30
ID: AOS-21-REQ-030
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall preserve the distinction between record correction and a new governing decision when applying an empowered disposition.
Category / function: G; FUN-005
Exact source / derivation: DEC-089; H §10; K/KX-13
Rationale: Clerical correction cannot enact or reverse a decision.
Planned VER: 009

Table row 31
ID: AOS-21-REQ-031

Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall bind each supplied corpus bundle to its entity, purpose, relevant time basis and selected source revisions.
Category / function: I-D; FUN-006
Exact source / derivation: E; K/KX-01/14/22; RP4
Rationale: Makes the exact context of downstream reasoning reconstructable.
Planned VER: 020

Table row 32
ID: AOS-21-REQ-032
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall preserve permitted-use and disclosure restrictions in all supplied corpus views.
Category / function: S; FUN-006
Exact source / derivation: U; K/KX-14; RP9
Rationale: Retrieval cannot create unrestricted secondary use.
Planned VER: 020/023

Table row 33
ID: AOS-21-REQ-033
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall apply the authorised record-class lifecycle disposition to originals and derived corpus copies.
Category / function: S; All
Exact source / derivation: DEC-048; K/KX-15; GIL-C25
Rationale: Avoids perpetual hidden content retained as audit evidence.
Planned VER: 010

Table row 34
ID: AOS-21-REQ-034
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall retain permitted historical approval and applied-revision evidence when later changes alter current applicability.
Category / function: D; FUN-005/006
Exact source / derivation: DEC-064/068/089; K/KX-13; E
Rationale: A later state must not rewrite what actually occurred.
Planned VER: 009/020

Table row 35
ID: AOS-21-REQ-035
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall distinguish supplied documentary claims from separately sourced assurance or corroborating evidence.
Category / function: Q; FUN-003/006
Exact source / derivation: DEC-091; E/REC-Q; RP6 no-exit; GIL-C16
Rationale: Supports assessment without claiming that documents prove practice.
Planned VER: 021

Table row 36
ID: AOS-21-REQ-036
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall distinguish justified non-applicability, intentional exclusion, missing evidence, unseeded knowledge and unassessed scope in its coverage records.
Category / function: Q; FUN-005/006
Exact source / derivation: GIL-C19; RP5; GI §8
Rationale: Different limitations cannot be collapsed into reassurance.
Planned VER: 011/022

Table row 37
ID: AOS-21-REQ-037
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall maintain its component-specific external-research remit against exact assessed specification/dependency revisions.
Category / function: R; FUN-008
Exact source / derivation: DEC-086; R; template §4.4
Rationale: Separates adaptation research from document currency monitoring.
Planned VER: 024

Table row 38
ID: AOS-21-REQ-038
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall use the common research profile/run/finding/disposition views for its research records.
Category / function: R; FUN-008
Exact source / derivation: R; 02 §6.6 RSVIEW-0.1
Rationale: Avoids competing research evidence and status definitions.
Planned VER: 024

Table row 39
ID: AOS-21-REQ-039
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall bind research findings to evidence, source status/dates, uncertainty and exact affected elements.
Category / function: R; FUN-008
Exact source / derivation: R; RP5/7; DEC-086
Rationale: Makes source-to-challenge reasoning assessable.
Planned VER: 025

Table row 40
ID: AOS-21-REQ-040
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall report actual research coverage, including partial, failed, cancelled, not-performed and bounded no-material-finding outcomes.
Category / function: R; FUN-008
Exact source / derivation: R; GIL-C21; RP5
Rationale: Quiet output is not proof of complete checking.
Planned VER: 026

Table row 41
ID: AOS-21-REQ-041
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall obtain external research evidence through permitted Boundary retrieval and current common controls.
Category / function: R; FUN-008
Exact source / derivation: R; A/U/X; AOS-14-IF-001
Rationale: Prevents source/tool instructions bypassing authority or data use.
Planned VER: 027

Table row 42
ID: AOS-21-REQ-042
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall route research findings to permitted reviewers and materially affected component owners under the approved duty profile.
Category / function: R; FUN-008
Exact source / derivation: DEC-086; R; K/KX-26
Rationale: Shared evidence still has distinct component obligations.
Planned VER: 028

Table row 43
ID: AOS-21-REQ-043
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall retain research disposition duties unresolved when required reviewer acceptance or response is absent.
Category / function: R; FUN-008
Exact source / derivation: R; DEC-075; K/KX-21/26
Rationale: Unresponsive review cannot silently close a finding.
Planned VER: 029

Table row 44
ID: AOS-21-REQ-044
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall use the protected reporting route for findings within the approved mandatory classes despite an instruction to suppress them.
Category / function: G; FUN-005/008
Exact source / derivation: DEC-083; RP8; protected reporting annex §§3-6

Rationale: Ensures a corpus/research concern can reach alternate empowered humans.
Planned VER: 029

Table row 45
ID: AOS-21-REQ-045
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall refer any proposed specification or governing amendment through the applicable empowered change process before adoption.
Category / function: G; FUN-008
Exact source / derivation: DEC-086; AOS-01 §§6.6/10.8; DEC-018
Rationale: Research acceptance or publication is not amendment/activation approval.
Planned VER: 028/029

Table row 46
ID: AOS-21-REQ-046
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall preserve an evidence-linked audit record for consequential admission, selection and precedent transitions.
Category / function: D; All
Exact source / derivation: RP7; QS-19; E/X; §12
Rationale: Provides real evidence rather than retrospective generated proof.
Planned VER: 030

Table row 47
ID: AOS-21-REQ-047
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall exclude protected AI boardroom capture and inferred individual emotional/preference descriptions from its corpus inputs.
Category / function: S; FUN-002/004/006
Exact source / derivation: DEC-008/088; H §9; RP2/9
Rationale: Permitted approved records cannot become a route to prohibited sensing.
Planned VER: 023

Table row 48
ID: AOS-21-REQ-048
Proposed obligation: AOS-21 shall reconcile restored/retried corpus operations against current restrictions and observed effect before renewed reliance.
Category / function: O; All
Exact source / derivation: DEC-076-078; K/KX-18/20; X
Rationale: Recovery must not resurrect obsolete powers, deleted content or duplicate effects.
Planned VER: 030

4.4 External research and specification adaptation

This is research into AOS-21 adequacy, distinct from routine monitoring of a stored document's currency, AOS-23 organisational assessment and AOS-25 runtime/aggregate oversight. It inherits R common definitions; CON-007 is a view, not a separately invented research schema.

Table row 1
Controlled profile: Remit / baseline
AOS-21 allocation / owner and gate: Changes affecting corpus governance, source provenance, knowledge curation, organisational/group semantics, precedent integrity, effective-date/supersession methods, licensing/access or trustworthy retrieval. Assess exact AOS-21 and dependency revisions and approval status. Owner: component owner/Steward.

Table row 2
Controlled profile: Sources / jurisdiction
AOS-21 allocation / owner and gate: Prefer applicable regulators/government, authoritative governance/standards bodies, primary knowledge/provenance research and evidenced incidents. Distinguish consultation, enacted/adopted and future-effective material. Exact

approved source list, jurisdiction, admission/licensing rules remain ISS-003/006.

Table row 3
Controlled profile: Assignment / triggers
AOS-21 allocation / owner and gate: Agent/shared service and approved cadence/event/coverage settings remain ISS-006. No per-artefact deployment or schedule is mandated.

Table row 4
Controlled profile: Controls
AOS-21 allocation / owner and gate: 01 authority, 03 use, 04 task/tool/memory/cumulative limits, 05 enforcement, 14 retrieval and verification. Public availability does not confer unrestricted reuse. Retrieved instructions remain untrusted data.

Table row 5
Controlled profile: Evidence / assessment
AOS-21 allocation / owner and gate: Common profile/run/finding/disposition views bind sources and assurance, publication/event/access/effective dates or unknown reasons, affected CAP/FUN/REQ/CON/source/decision, alternatives, uncertainty, materiality/urgency and cross-component impacts.

Table row 6
Controlled profile: Quality / coverage
AOS-21 allocation / owner and gate: Complete/partial/failed/cancelled/not-performed coverage and bounded no-material-finding. Human-approved relevance, missed/false finding and fidelity rubric; no numeric default. Owner: component reviewer with 04/40; ISS-006/007.

Table row 7
Controlled profile: Human review / change
AOS-21 allocation / owner and gate: Named permitted reviewer, tracker, deadline, cover and escalation; accepted-for-work/rejected/deferred/unresolved rationale. Amendment approval, verification, actual application and outcome closure remain separate under 01/30. Protected urgent implications use existing reporting routes.

Table row 8
Controlled profile: Records / adoption
AOS-21 allocation / owner and gate: 02 §6.6 RSVIEW-0.1; KX-13/21/26 and REC-E/Q/A/D/X. Duplicate/correction/lifecycle/reassessment semantics are common. Exact schema and consumer compatibility are later ISS-004 dependencies.

Normal path: approved trigger → permitted research → coverage/evidence → AOS-21 relevance and impact → human disposition → approved change if warranted → applied revision and reviewed outcome. Degraded path: denied/failed source or stale baseline → explicit partial/failed coverage → owned exception and safe limitation → retry under current controls or human fallback. Urgent reporting continues under separately valid powers while amendment is pending. Research cannot expand sensing/tools, self-approve normative change or reopen DEC-018.

5. State model

These are semantic states, not database enums. Records retain independent dimensions; an admitted source can still contain disputed facts, and an approved decision can still be unapplied.

Table row 1
Object / states: Source: received → admission pending → admitted/restricted/rejected
Entry, transition, authority and failure: Receipt preserves permitted provenance; delegated admission decision binds purpose/scope. Unknown authority/use remains pending/restricted. Currency change can mark stale/disputed/superseded without erasing history.

Table row 2
Object / states: Profile assertion: unassessed/partial/disputed → validated for purpose
Entry, transition, authority and failure: Evidence/assurance and empowered confirmation support the transition; use restrictions and

validity remain. Material correction reopens affected suitability; no default false.

Table row 3
Object / states: Governing instrument: proposal → empowered approved → applicable/applied → superseded/withdrawn
Entry, transition, authority and failure: 01 governs approval and actual application; effective dates and entity scope constrain use. Storage/admission does not perform these transitions.

Table row 4
Object / states: Precedent: candidate → explicit approved/rejected → applicable/restricted → corrected/withdrawn
Entry, transition, authority and failure: Empowered approval of exact meaning, context, rationale and limits required. Later correction preserves earlier record; dependent review and actual effects remain separately tracked.

Table row 5
Object / states: Impact case: detected → owners notified → responsibility accepted → reassessed → closed/unresolved
Entry, transition, authority and failure: 02/13/30 acknowledgement semantics apply. Profile deadlines/cover govern timeout; delivery or closure of one consumer does not close remaining duties.

Table row 6
Object / states: Review: due/triggered → preparation → human disposition → follow-through
Entry, transition, authority and failure: Annual and material-trigger duties retained. Missing independent preparation or recipient leaves owned unresolved duty. Closure requires evidence of required outcome, not merely a report.

Table row 7
Object / states: Research
Entry, transition, authority and failure: Inherit AOS-04 §5.1 and AOS-02 §6.6 profile/run/finding/disposition states; run completion is not change approval.

Restrictions, withdrawal and revocation take effect through current common controls. Terminal rejection can close a candidate, while residual safety, reporting, retention or review duties remain open. Deadline expiry never creates consent or approval.

6. Semantic contracts and interfaces

No new approved IF is introduced. CON IDs identify AOS-21 views of existing common definitions. Source facts and derived assertions use REC-E with separate REC-Q assurance; governing interpretations use the existing approval/change semantics. Component-specific entity/tier/catalogue/precedent references qualify common meaning without duplicating physical schemas.

Table row 1
ID: AOS-21-CON-001
Purpose / producer → consumer: Scoped source/profile evidence: source owner/Steward/14/02 → 21/20/22/23/25
Authoritative meaning and failure: AOS-02-IF-001, REC-E/Q; KX-01/08/09/10/15. Exact entity/source revision, assertion versus assurance, dates, purpose and limitations. Disputed mapping or mandatory gaps prevent validated suitability.

Table row 2
ID: AOS-21-CON-002
Purpose / producer → consumer: Authority/use/execution: 01/03/04/05 → 21; outcomes → 02/control owners
Authoritative meaning and failure: AOS-01-IF-001, REC-A/D/X; KX-02/03/04 and coordination CHECK. Source admission cannot grant authority or use; absent/invalid control withholds dependent operation.

Table row 3
ID: AOS-21-CON-003
Purpose / producer → consumer: Governing decision and precedent: empowered humans via 30/01 → 21/02/25
Authoritative meaning and failure: AOS-30-IF-001, AOS-01-IF-002; KX-06 v0.3/05/13. Exact approval/powers/rationale/entity/effective scope; Chair draft approval distinct from decision validity/evidence and separate minutes approval; explicit precedent designation. Ambiguous approval remains pending.

Table row 4
ID: AOS-21-CON-004
Purpose / producer → consumer: Corpus selection and analytical references: 21 → 20/22/23/24/25/30
Authoritative meaning and failure: REC-E/Q, KX-01/08/14/22; AOS-20-IF-001 applies when the output is canonical analysis. Bundle binds exact requested scope, selected revisions, selection criteria and gaps. Presentation must not imply a new conclusion or approved rule.

Table row 5
ID: AOS-21-CON-005
Purpose / producer → consumer: Correction/currency/dependency: 21/02/source owner/01/03 → affected 20-25/30 and control owners
Authoritative meaning and failure: KX-13/15/21; coordination change/impact. Old/new revision, effective scope, reason, owners and remaining duties. Stale/duplicate/out-of-order messages reconcile authoritative state; no automatic effect reversal.

Table row 6
ID: AOS-21-CON-006
Purpose / producer → consumer: Precedent review/protected referral: 21/independent reviewer/25 → empowered 30/Committee; dispositions → 21/01
Authoritative meaning and failure: KX-17/22/06/19/26; Shared escalation and protected reporting. Independent preparation, self-assessment distinction, accepted owner/cover, exact review outcome. No executive suppression or receipt-as-resolution.

Table row 7
ID: AOS-21-CON-007
Purpose / producer → consumer: Own external research: approved profile/agent using 14 → 21 reviewer/affected owners; human disposition → change tracker
Authoritative meaning and failure: AOS-04 CON-009/AOS-02 §6.6 RSVIEW-0.1, existing REC/KX views. Exact baseline/evidence/coverage/impact/disposition; no autonomous amendment or competing research dictionary.

Table row 8
ID: AOS-21-CON-008
Purpose / producer → consumer: Lifecycle/recovery/disclosure: 03/05/02/14 → 21; permitted status/results → requestor/30
Authoritative meaning and failure: KX-14/15/18/20/23 and REC-D/X. Purpose-bound projection and class-based lifecycle; partial/unknown deletion/recovery remains explicit. No unrestricted replay of obsolete authority/content.

All views inherit shared organisation/identity/revision references, date precision/time basis, units where applicable, known/unknown/withheld states, exact definition versions and reviewed compatibility. Tier labels and entity types require controlled vocabulary; numerical quantities retain their source units. No physical field types, cardinalities, transport/API or timing defaults are selected here. ISS-004 owns later mappings.

Ordering is per declared entity/source/case scope, not a universal total order. Duplicate recognition preserves independent sources and each duty. Receipt, validation, application and human-responsibility acceptance are declared by exchange purpose; information-only delivery need not invent a duty. Failed validation retains reason and owner; retries check current permission and known effects. Revocation/correction reaches materially dependent consumers under CON-005. Producers and consumers must use compatible exact semantics before operational reliance; the linked register records current versus pending adoption.

7. Authority and decision boundaries

Delegated Mode 1 processing may classify, extract, reconcile, retrieve and propose under valid profiles. Routine faithful capture of an already approved text differs from deciding what that text means. The human Steward can maintain sources within explicit delegation; contested authority, new normative interpretation and amendments go to empowered humans. AOS-21 cannot select a convenient conflicting source or infer that newest publication supersedes a constitutional instrument.

Only the empowered board changes telos; applicable constitutional procedure and entity powers remain under AOS-01. Schedule amendment follows DEC-025, not a generic librarian/admin approval. For board records, minute-taker, Company Secretary stewardship, Chair draft approval and board approval are distinct under DEC-087. Non-board decisions use their own

valid approval route. Approved minutes do not automatically designate every statement as precedent or demonstrate that an action occurred.

Constraints explain their governing reason and review route by default; recorded security/privacy/feasibility exceptions may limit explanation without waiving enforcement. Revoked authority, disputed material evidence or unresolved use blocks materially dependent automated reliance while separately authorised service/reporting duties continue. No autonomous normative self-calibration, emotional inference, retrospective effect reversal or blanket freeze is authorised.

8. Reasoning principles applied

Table row 1
Foundation / reasoning: FP1/2/8; RP2/6
AOS-21 contribution and limit: Founding purpose and human moral authority preserved through tier/power/precedent separation; software stores evidence rather than holding intrinsic telos. REQ-006-008/019-025.

Table row 2
Foundation / reasoning: FP3/9; RP6
AOS-21 contribution and limit: Organisation's purpose, developer framework evidence and analytical/legal references distinguished; compliance mapping validates rather than creates purpose. REQ-006-008/035.

Table row 3
Foundation / reasoning: FP4; RP7
AOS-21 contribution and limit: Exact sources, approval, limitations and accountable rationale are reconstructable through permitted views. REQ-003/010/019/031-034/046.

Table row 4
Foundation / reasoning: FP5/6; RP1/5
AOS-21 contribution and limit: Unknowns, conflicts, gaps, failed monitoring and degraded dependencies remain visible; no confidence-as-truth. REQ-004/009/014/026-030/036/043/048.

Table row 5
Foundation / reasoning: FP7; RP6
AOS-21 contribution and limit: Profiles and corpus do not interpret low complaints or absent engagement as consent/satisfaction. AOS-21 supplies permitted context; 11/22/23/25/30 retain broader assessment. REQ-035.

Table row 6
Foundation / reasoning: FP10; RP3/8
AOS-21 contribution and limit: Entity boundaries, aggregate precedent review, cross-component impact and bounded adaptation are explicit. REQ-001/002/027-030/037-045.

Table row 7
Foundation / reasoning: RP4/9
AOS-21 contribution and limit: Actual organisational context, exact human decisions, purpose-bound use and correction constrain downstream option/assessment work. REQ-001-005/017-020/032/034/047.

All nine RP domains apply through the named sources; AOS-21 supplies corpus/evidence for option sets but AOS-22 owns infeasible alternatives and synthesis.

Table row 1
GI Tests: M1.1/5
Contribution / human or other-component limit: Current strategy/context sources support coherence/performance interpretation; 22/23 assess meaning, not 21 alone

Table row 2
GI Tests: M1.2/3/14

Contribution / human or other-component limit: Provenance, entity scope and currency support sensing/risk/Golden Thread records; 10-14 own domain data and operational obligations

Table row 3
GI Tests: M1.4
Contribution / human or other-component limit: Sourced board capability information may be admitted; judging actual board capability remains human

Table row 4
GI Tests: M1.6/7/10
Contribution / human or other-component limit: Applicable sources, governance records and AI norms are identifiable; document admission cannot certify practice or compliance

Table row 5
GI Tests: M1.8/9
Contribution / human or other-component limit: Evidence of stakeholder feedback and value-for-money criteria can be scoped and contested; actual accountability/outcomes require human and downstream assessment

Table row 6
GI Tests: M1.11/12/13
Contribution / human or other-component limit: Decision/outcome links, gaps and aggregate precedent history support learning/oversight; 25/30 own review and consequences

Table row 7
GI Tests: M2.1/2/3/4
Contribution / human or other-component limit: Approved values and decisions support human challenge/trade-off review; 21 cannot adjudicate goods or infer unspoken unease

Table row 8
GI Tests: M2.5/11
Contribution / human or other-component limit: Independent access/preparation can be supported; genuine independence and collective sense-making require human observation and judgement

Table row 9
GI Tests: M2.6/7/8
Contribution / human or other-component limit: Purpose-permitted evidence and accessible accountable records support legitimacy/no-exit/transparency; records do not prove consent or legitimacy

Table row 10
GI Tests: M2.9/10/12
Contribution / human or other-component limit: Founding text, precedent history and valid powers support moral/purpose/constitutional review; human reviewers determine adequacy

Table row 11
GI Tests: M2.13/14/15
Contribution / human or other-component limit: Preserve developer-framework assessment, purpose-led rationale and telos/function evidence; human governance and operating assessment establish effectiveness

All 29 tests are accounted for as contributions and limits, with no test awarded a pass. Formal Mode 2 effectiveness assessment remains human; protected in-room observation by human assessors does not license AI boardroom sensing.

9. Data, privacy and disclosure

Knowledge is collected for approved organisational context, corpus/criteria use, precedent custody and research-not unlimited general profiling. Source and fact assurance remain distinct, with original context and disagreement preserved. Only

purpose-permitted source content, human-provided/confirmed contextual descriptions and necessary derivatives are processed. Missing or restricted material remains explicit.

Access and disclosure use AOS-03 independent use permission, not a public-source label, role membership or corpus admission alone. Group membership never authorises sharing automatically. Evidence views can support residents', staff's, regulators' and boards' legitimate interests without turning transparency into unrestricted access. AOS-24 renders and AOS-14 handles external exchange.

Class-specific policies govern originals, excerpts, embeddings/indexes, caches, projections, research and backups through 02/03/05. Retention does not default to permanent; deletion cannot retain hidden copies of the same payload under an audit label. Permitted disposition evidence can record that deletion occurred without preserving deleted content. A law/hold versus deletion conflict requires an empowered determination and owned restricted state.

10. Behaviour and workflows

10.1 Profile and group context

Approved profile request/change → relevant entity and permitted sources → extraction of assertions with exact evidence/assurance → uncertain matches/conflicts retained → human confirmation within delegated powers → versioned scoped profile → downstream context selection → observed correction/outcome and owned review. Annual accounts are one source; current filings, policies or confirmed operational facts may add or contest context. Parent and subsidiary assertions coexist without silently copying the parent's powers or defaults.

10.2 Admission and catalogue

Received source → provenance/status/jurisdiction/use check → delegated classification as external, operational, constitutional or analytical material → admission decision → indexed permitted revision → query/applicability use → currency event/review. A document can contain material of more than one tier; distinguish relevant passages rather than force one authority label on everything. Analyst questions and standards remain separate from governing instruments. Missing catalogue/seed content becomes explicit unassessed coverage, not absence of a governance obligation.

10.3 Decision and precedent

Approved human workflow → exact record/powers/entity/scope → verify actual approval stage → faithful capture → separately designated precedent candidate/approval with rationale and limits → applicable precedent reference → independent review. A Chair-approved draft remains draft. An ambiguous approval or conversational “yes” remains confirmation/candidate, not precedent. Decisions about a subsidiary cannot adopt parent-wide rules without the corresponding powers and exact scope.

10.4 Correction and material change

Source/profile/decision/permission change → preserve permitted old/new revisions and effective scope → identify dependent consumers/use → notification and accepted responsibility → selected restriction/reassessment → changed suitability/application evidence → owned consequence review. Notify AOS-30 when preparation/account/outcome review is materially affected. AOS-22/23 revise their analyses; 30 obtains human account/decision review; 21 never silently rewrites approved minutes or reverses effects. Duplicate, delayed and out-of-order changes reconcile the authoritative current state rather than apply an old message as a new permission.

10.5 Independent precedent review

At least annual and material-drift triggers → founding texts plus permitted complete precedent history, coverage and changes → human review and GI self-assessment each prepared without reference to the other account → empowered comparison → reaffirm, prune/correct/withdraw specified precedents or constitutional referral → update approved status and consumer dependencies → consequence/outcome feedback. Independence uses common canonical evidence and authorised preparation controls under DEC-085, not a private second truth store or executive tuning. If a review cannot be completed, retain the overdue duty, cover and escalation; passage of time does not reaffirm precedent.

11. Failure, escalation and recovery

Missing provenance, permissions, approval or required assurance prevents the corresponding favourable use claim. Preserve a permitted unresolved record and explanation; seek the named human owner without blocking independent valid duties. Source disagreement, lost access and currency failure produce explicit limitations. No match or no alert is not proof of completeness.

When storage/consumer delivery fails, retain actual operation status through 02/05, track undelivered impacts and reconcile before retry. Unknown effects remain indeterminate; do not duplicate an admission/amendment on blind replay. Protected telos, Mode 2 bypass, material safety/welfare, suppression or assurance-failure concerns use 05/20 protected routes, alternative recipients when implicated and accepted human cover under 30. Ordinary source dispute does not automatically become a protected emergency.

Restore validates current permissions, restrictions, source revisions and applied state before renewed reliance. Backup recovery cannot resurrect deleted/revoked content or obsolete precedent as current. Human fallback supplies explicitly bounded permitted evidence and records the limitation; it is not an ungoverned export around common controls.

12. Evidence, provenance and audit

Reconstruction links trigger/request → exact source/assertion → actor/capacity → authority/use check → classification/selection and rationale → human decision → admission/transition → notifications/application → intervention/consequence/outcome. Record responsible owner, method/model/profile revision, evidence versus inference, assurance and limitations, effective/observed times and pending duties. Selection records expose omitted/unavailable relevant material within permitted disclosure.

Captured reasoning means applied rules, source references, outputs and approved human rationale; it does not require private model chain-of-thought. A later generated explanation cannot become evidence that an earlier check occurred. 02 owns record structures/custody; 21 owns source/curation meaning; other semantic owners retain their contracts. Historical preservation is subject to authorised lifecycle restrictions.

13. Security and operational requirements

External and uploaded content is untrusted input. Enforce common task/tool/use/runtime controls independently of probabilistic classification. Protect approved corpus and precedent from unauthorised writes; retain proposal/approval/application separation even when one interface displays them. Organisational and entity isolation follows authorised scope; indexing cannot create cross-entity access.

Approved source/currentness, timeliness, capacity, availability, review/cover and recovery profiles define service conditions. Their absence blocks dependent activation, not functional drafting. Model-assisted extraction, mapping, tier suggestions and relevance are evaluated against human-reviewed fixtures for evidence fidelity, omissions, false promotion, uncertainty and group boundaries; thresholds remain approved profile values. Model/configuration change requires proportionate affected-function evaluation under 04/05/40. Deterministic rejection of missing approval/permission is tested separately from judgement quality.

14. Invariants

Table row 1
ID: AOS-21-INV-001
Binding invariant / requirement links: Source admission never supplies organisational adoption or permission; REQ-007/008/017/018
Planned cases: VER-004/012/023

Table row 2
ID: AOS-21-INV-002
Binding invariant / requirement links: Group relationship never silently transfers entity powers or evidence; REQ-001/002/005
Planned cases: VER-001/002

Table row 3
ID: AOS-21-INV-003
Binding invariant / requirement links: Confirmed text or Chair draft approval never constitutes explicit approved precedent/board minutes; REQ-019-024
Planned cases: VER-013-016

Table row 4
ID: AOS-21-INV-004
Binding invariant / requirement links: Material conflict/unknown stays visible and cannot become false/current/validated by default; REQ-004/009/014/026/036
Planned cases: VER-003/005/007/008/011/022

Table row 5
ID: AOS-21-INV-005
Binding invariant / requirement links: Material changes retain owned dependent review without silently rewriting history/effects; REQ-027-030/034
Planned cases: VER-008/009/018/019

Table row 6
ID: AOS-21-INV-006
Binding invariant / requirement links: Research proposes; empowered humans approve changes; REQ-037-045
Planned cases: VER-024-029

Table row 7
ID: AOS-21-INV-007
Binding invariant / requirement links: Retrieval/retention/recovery preserve current permitted-use and lifecycle limits; REQ-017/018/032/033/047/048
Planned cases: VER-010/020/023/027/030

15. Conformance and acceptance

All VER cases are planned author proposals. Common case configuration: exact AOS-21 and dependency revisions in document control; controlled synthetic fixtures or expressly permitted actual records; valid identity/purpose/control profiles except for deliberately invalid paths. Procedure supplies the listed trigger/input, exercises the relevant function and observes records/notifications/blocked effects. Evidence pack retains input and output revisions, controls, decisions, actual transitions/acknowledgements and rubric result. Assessor: competent component/control reviewer; semantic/precedent judgements require empowered human assessment; independent review scenarios require a genuinely independent human. No fixture or model self-rating proves institutional effectiveness.

Table row 1
ID: AOS-21-VER-001
REQs: 001/003

Case / input and procedure: Sourced profile: Two sources support one exact entity assertion with distinct assurance methods.
Expected acceptance / retained evidence: Retain both source revisions and linked separate assurance; no truth inferred solely from origin. Common evidence/assessor rules above apply.

Table row 2
ID: AOS-21-VER-002
REQs: 002/005
Case / input and procedure: Group boundaries: Parent and subsidiary have different powers and similar names; propose merging their profiles.
Expected acceptance / retained evidence: Retain scoped linked profiles; reject unsupported merge or inherited parent authority. Common evidence/assessor rules above apply.

Table row 3
ID: AOS-21-VER-003
REQs: 004/005
Case / input and procedure: Unknown and disputed context: A source lacks a care/building attribute; two current sources disagree about another assertion.
Expected acceptance / retained evidence: Unknown stays unknown, disagreement remains visible and required human reconciliation is held. Common evidence/assessor rules above apply.

Table row 4
ID: AOS-21-VER-004
REQs: 006/007/013
Case / input and procedure: Tier and criterion distinction: Admit a regulator text, standing order, founding purpose and assessment criterion.
Expected acceptance / retained evidence: Correct tier/semantic roles exposed; admission/criterion does not enact or amend authority. Common evidence/assessor rules above apply.

Table row 5
ID: AOS-21-VER-005
REQs: 008/009
Case / input and procedure: Contested authority: Newer guidance conflicts with an approved operational instrument and proposed interpretation touches telos.
Expected acceptance / retained evidence: Preserve disagreement and refer empowered decision; no newest-text-wins adoption. Common evidence/assessor rules above apply.

Table row 6
ID: AOS-21-VER-006
REQs: 010/011
Case / input and procedure: Dates and applicability: Consultation, future-effective adopted rule and wrong-jurisdiction rule share a topic.
Expected acceptance / retained evidence: Retain distinct status/dates/entity applicability; none silently becomes a current governing rule. Common evidence/assessor rules above apply.

Table row 7
ID: AOS-21-VER-007
REQs: 012/013/014
Case / input and procedure: Catalogue coverage: Add sourced questions/relationships; one artefact entry has no approved seed content.
Expected acceptance / retained evidence: Exact sourced revisions retained; unseeded analysis declared without claiming catalogue completeness. Common evidence/assessor rules above apply.

Table row 8
ID: AOS-21-VER-008
REQs: 026/027
Case / input and procedure: Currency and impacts: Annual profile has later material evidence and multiple pending analysis consumers.
Expected acceptance / retained evidence: Apply approved currentness criteria, identify affected revisions/use and retain reassessment owners. Common evidence/assessor rules above apply.

Table row 9

ID: AOS-21-VER-009
REQs: 030/034
Case / input and procedure: Correction versus new decision: Correct a clerical account error, then propose changing a substantive board resolution.
Expected acceptance / retained evidence: Distinct empowered routes; permitted history/applied state preserved; no automatic effect reversal. Common evidence/assessor rules above apply.

Table row 10
ID: AOS-21-VER-010
REQs: 033
Case / input and procedure: Deletion and derivatives: Authorised deletion covers originals, index, cached projections and recovery copies; one copy cannot be confirmed deleted.
Expected acceptance / retained evidence: Apply class policy, report actual partial/indeterminate disposition and retain owned follow-through without hidden content. Common evidence/assessor rules above apply.

Table row 11
ID: AOS-21-VER-011
REQs: 014/036
Case / input and procedure: Coverage distinctions: Mix non-applicable type, excluded subsidiary, missing source, unseeded entry and unassessed entity.
Expected acceptance / retained evidence: Expose separate states/reasons/owners; no single safe-blind-spot conclusion. Common evidence/assessor rules above apply.

Table row 12
ID: AOS-21-VER-012
REQs: 015/016
Case / input and procedure: Steward versus administrator: Steward performs delegated faithful maintenance; administrator attempts unsupported normative edit.
Expected acceptance / retained evidence: Allowed maintenance binds exact actor/revision; unauthorised meaning adoption held/referred. Common evidence/assessor rules above apply.

Table row 13
ID: AOS-21-VER-013
REQs: 019/022
Case / input and procedure: Human decision/process evidence, draft/stewardship/authentication, minutes approval and effective/application times arrive separately.
Expected acceptance / retained evidence: Preserve the four axes, exact source/revision and human powers under actual instruments. Neither custody nor signature creates the decision; no universal later-minutes or second record-approval gate. Planned, not executed.

Table row 14
ID: AOS-21-VER-014
REQs: 019/023
Case / input and procedure: Valid decision with minutes pending and authorised Chair-authenticated record/extract, then unsupported assertion, contradicted signed minutes and unmet effectiveness condition.
Expected acceptance / retained evidence: Assess evidence under applicable policy and preserve statutory/evidential standing where applicable without treating authentication as conclusive. Sufficient evidence may support permitted reliance before minutes approval; insufficient/disputed evidence withholds affected reliance with accountable review. No record manufactures authority or rewrites actual history. Planned, not executed.

Table row 15
ID: AOS-21-VER-015
REQs: 020/021
Case / input and procedure: Conversation versus precedent: User confirms wording; then empowered body explicitly approves scoped interpretation with rationale and limits.
Expected acceptance / retained evidence: First remains confirmation/candidate; only explicit valid second approval supplies approved precedent. Common evidence/assessor rules above apply.

Table row 16

ID: AOS-21-VER-016
REQs: 024
Case / input and procedure: Non-board route: A delegated committee makes a valid scoped decision; unempowered role tries an equivalent approval.
Expected acceptance / retained evidence: Preserve applicable non-board powers; reject unsupported approval rather than assume all roles are board. Common evidence/assessor rules above apply.

Table row 17
ID: AOS-21-VER-017
REQs: 025
Case / input and procedure: Independent annual/drift review: Independent human prepares against founding text and same permitted precedent evidence without GI self-assessment first.
Expected acceptance / retained evidence: Distinct reports and human disposition retained; annual/material-trigger duty cannot close merely on report delivery. Common evidence/assessor rules above apply. Also offer the human account to GI before GI preparation, and GI's account to the human author before human preparation: neither can claim independent preparation after using the other. Common permitted evidence remains usable; original accounts, differing bases and later responses remain distinct. Planned, not executed.

Table row 18
ID: AOS-21-VER-018
REQs: 027/028
Case / input and procedure: Affected human workflow: Evidence correction materially affects analysis, preparation pack and pending account/outcome review.
Expected acceptance / retained evidence: Notify affected semantic owners and 30 with exact revisions; 21 neither rewrites analysis nor approved minutes. Common evidence/assessor rules above apply.

Table row 19
ID: AOS-21-VER-019
REQs: 029
Case / input and procedure: Duplicate and failed handoff: Duplicate/out-of-order change notifications include one failed recipient and a receipt lacking responsibility acceptance.
Expected acceptance / retained evidence: Reconcile current revision; preserve each remaining duty/cover; receipt does not close reassessment. Common evidence/assessor rules above apply.

Table row 20
ID: AOS-21-VER-020
REQs: 031/032/034
Case / input and procedure: Historical permitted retrieval: Request corpus as used at an earlier decision time, with restricted content and later supersession.
Expected acceptance / retained evidence: Bind exact permitted historical revisions/time/purpose and current access restrictions; no claim that old source is current. Common evidence/assessor rules above apply.

Table row 21
ID: AOS-21-VER-021
REQs: 035
Case / input and procedure: Claims and corroboration: Policy asserts effective practice; separately permitted outcome/interview evidence supports or contests it.
Expected acceptance / retained evidence: Supply separately sourced claims and assurance/corroboration; 23 retains distinct assessment dimensions; 21 certifies no practice. Common evidence/assessor rules above apply.

Table row 22
ID: AOS-21-VER-022
REQs: 036
Case / input and procedure: No finding versus no knowledge: No alert appears for an unassessed or excluded entity.
Expected acceptance / retained evidence: Coverage limits remain explicit; quiet state supplies no validated complete governance picture. Common evidence/assessor rules above apply.

Table row 23
ID: AOS-21-VER-023

REQs: 017/018/032/047
Case / input and procedure: Permission and sensing barriers: Try accessible-but-unpermitted secondary use, revoked processing, live board capture and personal sentiment inference; include a valid approved account.
Expected acceptance / retained evidence: Prohibited uses withheld with evidence; separately permitted account preserved with actual status and restrictions. Common evidence/assessor rules above apply.

Table row 24
ID: AOS-21-VER-024
REQs: 037/038
Case / input and procedure: Own research versus currency: Approved profile tests AOS-21 adequacy while another run only checks document currency.
Expected acceptance / retained evidence: Bind exact baseline and common research views; distinguish remits and results; no separate local schema. Common evidence/assessor rules above apply.

Table row 25
ID: AOS-21-VER-025
REQs: 039
Case / input and procedure: Research relevance and sources: Corpus-method finding uses draft/future-effective/conflicting evidence and a stale assessed baseline.
Expected acceptance / retained evidence: Show source status, uncertainty, affected elements and stale-baseline limit; no silent adoption/currentness claim. Common evidence/assessor rules above apply.

Table row 26
ID: AOS-21-VER-026
REQs: 040
Case / input and procedure: Coverage outcomes: Run variants complete/partial/failed/cancelled/unperformed plus bounded no-material-finding.
Expected acceptance / retained evidence: Actual coverage and limits distinguish all variants; no-finding result states assessed scope. Common evidence/assessor rules above apply.

Table row 27
ID: AOS-21-VER-027
REQs: 041
Case / input and procedure: Hostile source and denied retrieval: Retrieved page instructs agent to amend corpus/powers; another source denies access.
Expected acceptance / retained evidence: Instructions remain data; current controls and Boundary access apply; denied source becomes explicit coverage gap. Common evidence/assessor rules above apply.

Table row 28
ID: AOS-21-VER-028
REQs: 042/045
Case / input and procedure: Shared impact and change gate: One finding affects 21 and 23; reviewer accepts further investigation but amendment approval is absent.
Expected acceptance / retained evidence: Both owners receive scoped duties; proposal acceptance cannot change governing/specification baseline. Common evidence/assessor rules above apply.

Table row 29
ID: AOS-21-VER-029
REQs: 043/044/045
Case / input and procedure: Unresponsive or implicated reviewer: Protected finding meets approved classes; executive asks suppression or designated reviewer is implicated/unresponsive.
Expected acceptance / retained evidence: Protected alternate route/cover and owned unresolved duty retained; no discretion to suppress or approval from silence. Common evidence/assessor rules above apply.

Table row 30
ID: AOS-21-VER-030
REQs: 046/048
Case / input and procedure: Audit and recovery: Interrupted admission has unknown effect; restore includes withdrawn

precedent/deleted copy; later explanation claims earlier check.
Expected acceptance / retained evidence: Reconcile current restrictions/effects before retry; preserve actual evidence; reject invented proof or uncontrolled resurrection. Common evidence/assessor rules above apply.

Table row 1
Conformance ID: AOS-21-CR-001
Condition and evidence: Scoped provenance, tier and applicability meet REQ-001-016 with VER-001-012 evidence

Table row 2
Conformance ID: AOS-21-CR-002
Condition and evidence: Authority/approval/precedent boundaries meet REQ-017-025 with VER-012-017/023 evidence

Table row 3
Conformance ID: AOS-21-CR-003
Condition and evidence: Change/retrieval/coverage meet REQ-026-036 with VER-008-011/018-022 evidence

Table row 4
Conformance ID: AOS-21-CR-004
Condition and evidence: Own research and operational controls meet REQ-037-048 with VER-023-030 evidence

These are future conformance conditions, not achieved claims. Longitudinal accumulation and independent precedent review require operating history in addition to constructed cases; no point-in-time corpus check can replace that evidence.

16. Dependencies

Drafting depends on controlled principles, approved decisions and common functional definitions now referenced. Remaining source-row reconciliation is visible in §19. Build depends on approved bounded wording and exact compatible contracts/profile values, evaluated discretionary methods and a separate reviewer walkthrough. Activation depends on organisation appointments/powers, actual sources/licences/permissions, applied controls, accepted quality/service profiles and reporting/recovery evidence. Operation depends on source access, human capacity/cover, timely currentness checks, affected-consumer adoption and independent review.

AOS-22-25 are drafted functional counterparts. Their stated responsibilities do not claim implementation or consumer acceptance. AOS-13 full handoff/coordination drafting and AOS-40 detailed assurance allocation remain common dependencies. None is a reason to manufacture an extra general design decision or numerical default in AOS-21.

17. Issues and setup gaps

All issues originated in this v0.1 derivation; confidence is not scored. Q01 group scope is resolved by DEC-090 and Q02 corroboration by DEC-091. No additional general design question is submitted.

Table row 1
ID / type / status: AOS-21-ISS-001 dependency / open
Gap and affected scope: Actual entity perimeter, powers, Steward delegation and reviewer/cover appointments; CAP-001-003, CON-001-003/006
Owner / evidence / gate / disposition: Organisation board/01/GI owner; approved scoped instruments/appointments; build for affected behaviour, activation for actual use. Apply DEC-090, do not assume parent-only.

Table row 2
ID / type / status: AOS-21-ISS-002 dependency / open
Gap and affected scope: Source tier/materiality/precedent classification and applicability/currentness policies; CAP-002-004
Owner / evidence / gate / disposition: Steward/empowered authorities; controlled policy and contrasting cases; affected build/activation.

No newest-source-wins rule.

Table row 3
ID / type / status: AOS-21-ISS-003 dependency / open
Gap and affected scope: Catalogue/seed content, actual corpus, source ownership/licensing and jurisdiction; CAP-001/002
Owner / evidence / gate / disposition: Steward/source/privacy/legal owners; admitted inventory and evidence; affected build/reliance. Count 121 is not audited coverage.

Table row 4
ID / type / status: AOS-21-ISS-004 dependency / open
Gap and affected scope: Exact schema/vocabulary mappings and counterpart compatibility; all CONs
Owner / evidence / gate / disposition: 02 and semantic owners/consumers; reviewed compatible mappings; implementation/integration. Functional definitions adopted here; no wire detail prerequisite for further drafting.

Table row 5
ID / type / status: AOS-21-ISS-005 dependency / open
Gap and affected scope: Data-use, disclosure, retention/deletion/location and recovery configuration; CON-002/008
Owner / evidence / gate / disposition: 03/05/02 and organisation; approved policy and actual flow/control evidence; activation/reliance. No permanent-retention default.

Table row 6
ID / type / status: AOS-21-ISS-006 dependency / open
Gap and affected scope: Research assignment/sources/triggers/cadence, reviewer/tracker/deadline/cover and evaluation rubric; CAP-006/CON-007
Owner / evidence / gate / disposition: Component owner with 01/03/04/05/14/30/40; controlled profile and demonstrated results; research activation. No automation created by drafting.

Table row 7
ID / type / status: AOS-21-ISS-007 dependency / open
Gap and affected scope: Corpus extraction/selection quality, service conditions, independent/longitudinal review and executed cases; §§13/15
Owner / evidence / gate / disposition: Component/40/25 and human reviewers; accepted rubrics and real evidence; build/operation as applicable. Author checks are not independent assurance.

Table row 8
ID / type / status: AOS-21-ISS-008 dependency / open
Gap and affected scope: Full source-row closure and downstream 22-25/13/40 requirement adoption; §19
Owner / evidence / gate / disposition: Project custodian and component owners; source/REQ/VER allocation and reciprocal review; suite acceptance. Do not mark all-GT or all-source assurance complete.

18. Implementation guidance - non-normative

A governed source registry, entity-scoped catalogue, versioned profiles and a separate precedent view may help implement these functions. Documents may stay in existing repositories with permitted references/copies. Exact source, permission and approval boundaries matter more than a particular database or model. Retrieval and classification services may be shared across profiles. No vendor, API, physical schema, hosting location, per-artefact agent count or deployment sequence is mandated.

19. Traceability and change

Table row 1
Capability / functions: CAP-001 / FUN-001
Requirements: 001-005
Contracts: 001/002/005

Planned cases: 001-003

Table row 2
Capability / functions: CAP-002 / FUN-002/003
Requirements: 006-018
Contracts: 001/002/004/008
Planned cases: 004-012/020/023

Table row 3
Capability / functions: CAP-003 / FUN-004/007
Requirements: 019-025
Contracts: 003/006
Planned cases: 013-017

Table row 4
Capability / functions: CAP-004 / FUN-005
Requirements: 026-030/034/036
Contracts: 005/006
Planned cases: 008/009/018/019/022

Table row 5
Capability / functions: CAP-005 / FUN-006
Requirements: 031-035
Contracts: 001/004/008
Planned cases: 020/021/023

Table row 6
Capability / functions: CAP-006 / FUN-008
Requirements: 037-045
Contracts: 007/002/006
Planned cases: 024-029

Table row 7
Capability / functions: All applicable functions
Requirements: 046-048
Contracts: 002/008
Planned cases: 023/030

Table row 1
GIL comparison dispositions: C01/03/04/12-15/19/38
AOS-21 treatment / remaining owner: Organisation ownership, sourced profiles, scoped group entities, tiered catalogue, steward powers and coverage: REQ-001-016/026/036

Table row 2
GIL comparison dispositions: C06/08/16/18/24/25/35
AOS-21 treatment / remaining owner: Permitted record handling, assurance, human-supplied evidence and lifecycle: REQ-003/004/017-020/032/033/047; 23 owns assessment/corroboration conclusions under DEC-091

Table row 3
GIL comparison dispositions: C09/15/23/26/27/29/31
AOS-21 treatment / remaining owner: Admission versus powers, human approval/precedent, material change and actual application: REQ-007/008/019-025/027-030/034

Table row 4
GIL comparison dispositions: C07/10/20-22/30
AOS-21 treatment / remaining owner: Currentness, bounded research, retrieval and protected referral: REQ-026-030/037-045

Table row 5
GIL comparison dispositions: C02/05/17/28/32-34
AOS-21 treatment / remaining owner: 1+5 and human boundaries retained in §§1/7/8; 20/22/23/24/25/30 own synthesis, assessment, calibrated conclusions and institutional review

Table row 6
GIL comparison dispositions: C11/36/37
AOS-21 treatment / remaining owner: Technology/build/API and seed-population choices remain deferred; §18 and ISS-003/004; no new build order

“C” in this table abbreviates the existing GIL-C disposition IDs; it creates no new source IDs. Each requirement row supplies precise source/decision, rationale and case links; case rows supply reverse links. Common functional adoption is recorded in the Exchange Register and source matrix addenda. Original source-row status and independent assurance are not promoted merely by this allocation.

Changes retain previous records and identify exact affected REQ/CON/VER/source/entity/revision, rationale, authority and consumer impact. Research custodians may challenge/propose, never self-adopt. Approved correction, actual application and reviewed consequence are separate links. No requirement is retired in this initial version.

20. Build and handover

This draft authorises no implementation or live service. An eventual bounded handover fixes approved scope/wording, dependency versions, entity/power/use profiles, schema mappings and acceptance evidence. Builders retain implementation discretion but stop where mandatory values, source/power assumptions, compatibility or required review evidence are missing. A separate competent reviewer must walk normal, exception and authority-boundary cases using the controlled package before G3. Independent project review is deferred; operating independent precedent review remains required.

21. Review and control

Author review checks template coverage, singular requirement statements and metadata, source-direction coherence, stable IDs, case coverage, contract ownership, lifecycle/failure paths and preservation of IF/decision identities. Structure and link checks are documentary evidence only. No research run, corpus migration, source legal verification, executed scenario or independent review is claimed.

Table row 1
QS scope: QS-01-03/04
Evidence / author finding: Control and normative status explicit; §§2/4/19 preserve source and identity boundaries

Table row 2
QS scope: QS-05-10
Evidence / author finding: §4.3 row-specific source/rationale/case plus shared metadata; unresolved parameters in §17; detailed acceptance profiles still open

Table row 3
QS scope: QS-11-14
Evidence / author finding: §§1/3/5/6/9-11 give boundaries, contracts, lifecycle and failure; exact schemas and later counterpart drafts explicitly pending

Table row 4
QS scope: QS-15-20
Evidence / author finding: §§7-13 separate human powers, uncertainty, probabilistic evaluation, audit and approved change; operational evidence pending

Table row 5
QS scope: QS-21-23
Evidence / author finding: §§14/15/19 give two-way links, negative/cross-entity/cross-component/degraded cases; none executed

Table row 6
QS scope: QS-24-28
Evidence / author finding: §§16/17/20/21 distinguish author checks, design assessment, independent review and build/activation; no readiness gate claimed

Specification-quality conclusion: organised functional review draft with 48 proposed requirements, eight contract views and 30 planned cases. Design-adequacy conclusion: applies the governing source baseline and agreed directions, with material operating dependencies owned and visible; actual effectiveness remains for competent human and operational assessment. No tailoring or achieved gate is claimed. Mark's wording/whole-document review remains pending; no new general architecture question is identified. AOS-22-25 counterparts are drafted; next GI-set consistency/disposition review, then AOS-40 scenarios.

Component summary

Purpose: make organisational knowledge and governing sources scoped, traceable and contestable.
Owns: corpus/organisation/artefact knowledge and approved precedent admission/maintenance.
Receives: permitted exact sources, assurance, entity mappings, empowered human decisions and change/research evidence.
Produces: scoped corpus/profile views, precedent references, coverage/impact records and adaptation proposals.
External research: component-owned corpus/curation/precedent adequacy remit under common research profiles; assignment and operating values remain owned gaps.
Reserved human authority: adoption of disputed/new governing meaning, explicit precedent, constitutional changes and institutional judgement.
Conformant when: linked requirements have accepted evidence against the approved bounded baseline; drafting alone proves none of this.
Open dependencies: appointments/profiles, corpus content/licensing, exact implementation mappings, downstream drafts and actual/independent assurance.

AOS-23 counterpart adoption, 7 October 2026: AOS-23 CON-001/002/007 consumes the existing scoped evidence, corpus/applicability and correction views; CON-004 supplies canonical assessments and CON-008 its own common-view research. No AOS-21 requirement/case/contract retired or revised; precise mappings and consumer implementation remain later dependencies. Remaining synthesis/presentation/oversight counterparts are AOS-22/24/25.

AOS-22 counterpart adoption - 7 October 2026: 22 CON-001/002 consumes existing corpus/assessment/context; CON-003 produces canonical synthesis/options; CON-007 exchanges exact correction/feedback/oversight. Ownership and existing source/assurance/review/approval semantics are unchanged. Remaining presentation/oversight drafts are 24/25; implementation mapping/assurance remain later dependencies.

Historical opening status notices - retained provenance

These are dated drafting snapshots, including their then-current versions, totals, completion statements and next tasks. They do not define today's baseline or work queue. The present document retains its proposed/planned status; approved directions retain their recorded scope. Use the current inventory, adopted README and live to-do list for orientation; authoritative requirement and decision text remains in its named sections.

GI consistency closure - 7 October 2026: Mark authorised GI-CR-01-04 corrections and closure. Functional drafting, reciprocal report-independence wording, decision/outcome consumer allocation, Mode 2 wording and current completion statements are reconciled. AOS-23 changes counterpart/current references only; its REQ/VER/CON rows are unchanged. AOS-00/Map v0.32,

DEC-001-091; AOS-20 v0.5/21 v0.6/22 v0.4/23 v0.5/24 v0.3/25 v0.2/30 v0.5; AOS-01-05 v0.8, AOS-14 v0.4; Shared v0.15/Core v0.6/Exchange Register v0.14/source matrix v0.15; template v0.4; Control Coordination v0.2/Protected Reporting and Recovery v0.1. The review v0.2 records closure evidence; next AOS-40 acceptance scenarios. Earlier dated baseline/next-step statements remain historical. No new DEC/IF/operating power, case execution or build/activation/readiness approval; independent project review remains deferred and operating human independence remains mandatory.